This class will focus on the role of political parties as intermediaries of choice in modern democratic societies. Although a discussion of party government cannot take place in the absence of cross-national comparisons, this class will concentrate on the development of party in the U.S. as one example of a particular variant of modern party politics. Many of the comparisons made in this class will be historical. We can better understand where we are if we know where we have been. A special emphasis will also be placed on the changing (or perhaps not changing) role of third parties, or the threat of such, in that historical progression.

We will spend a great deal of time in the second half of this course studying the major environmental and procedural changes that have transformed (and continue to transform) the American partisan universe into its present, often complicated state. We will discuss the transformation of campaigning, nominating, and financing elections, and the role of parties (national and state) in that transformation. The student should leave this section with an understanding that: (1) rules and reforms are never neutral; (2) no one is ever quite sure what the results of reform will be; (3) no reform is perfect because politics does not operate within a perfect universe; and (4) individual political behavior both dictates and is constrained by changes in the political environment, including court decisions, new media venue, etc. The major thematic focus of this section is to study a seeming paradox in our political development: as we have democratized partisan processes, we may have produced less satisfactory and representative outcomes—yet we continue to ask for further democratic reform. Go figure!

REQUIREMENTS:

A. Attendance—in both body and mind.

B. Readings—should be completed before class discussion (a prerequisite for the second condition in A). A tentative schedule of readings begins on the back of this handout. I’ll mention the readings required each week as the lectures progress.

In order to lower your cost, no text is required. Instead, readings can be found in one of the following:

1. A course reader will be available for purchase from University Readers the first week of class (Order online at http://www.universityreaders.com/students -- the first few selections [20%] will be available through an online link if the reader is not yet available for purchase). For those who have not read Leon Epstein’s chapter on “Parties as public utilities” in one of my other classes, a separate, downloadable version will be available from the University Reader site.

2. In addition, to reduce costs further, several other essays of various lengths will be required and will be available online through the UCSD library server (access on campus or at home through a proxy server-- http://webproxy.ucsd.edu/proxy.pl ). Directions for different platforms/operating systems can be found at http://blink.ucsd.edu/Blink/External/Topics/Policy/0,1162,24528,00.html.
C. **Exams**—One take-home midterm (30%) and one in-class final (50%) are scheduled for this course, each consisting of a choice of short and (for the final) long essays (I’ll explain how that works later). All will be designed to test your ability to think about, integrate, and logically organize the course readings and lectures. The midterm is scheduled to be turned in Wednesday, Feb. 9, at the **beginning** of class and through the “TurnitIn” WebCt link for this class. The questions will be distributed by Monday, January 31 (WebCt). The final (in-class) will be administered March 18. A list of possible exam questions will be distributed by March 4. (Please note: any requests to review exam grades must be made in writing (typed) with a full and detailed justification for the request.)

D. **Quizzes** (yes, another one of Galderisi’s great experiments) —I have found that, with take home exams, many students don’t keep up with the readings until they have the questions in hand. Subsequently, less is gained from my lectures and class exchanges. In an attempt to alleviate this problem, I’ve been trying something new. Five quizzes on the readings will be administered throughout the quarter. They will be administered online with a set time limit. Each quiz will be worth 5% of your grade, with the lowest quiz score dropped. You may complete the exam anytime during the week it is assigned. Expect to start week 2 with another exam about every other week. I will set up the method to do this—stay tuned on WebCt.

**CLASS WEB PAGE**

Changes to this syllabus, as well as any review guides, assignments, informational emails, or date changes will be posted on the class web page (WEBCT). Please check it on a daily basis. Go to [webct.ucsd.edu](http://webct.ucsd.edu). Your classes should be listed. If you are having trouble getting into WebCt (students visiting from other campuses are especially vulnerable to this problem), please let me know as soon as possible.

**POLICY ON CHEATING:**

Failure—no exceptions. “Cheating” includes working together on the midterm or final exam questions. You can help each other with general questions about basic concepts, facts, readings, lectures, citation style, etc. In fact, I strongly suggest you do so on a regular basis. On the other hand, collaborating on the essays themselves, either in preparation or final production, is strictly forbidden. The same is true with taking the quizzes. If you are not sure about the distinction, please ask me to clarify. Further information on violations of university academic integrity codes can be found at: [http://www.ucsd.edu/portal/site/ucsd/menuitem.135225ab0c7ce3c0c0020010d34b01ca/?vgnextoid=f0f8f57e403bb110VgnVCM100000c7b410acRCRD](http://www.ucsd.edu/portal/site/ucsd/menuitem.135225ab0c7ce3c0c0020010d34b01ca/?vgnextoid=f0f8f57e403bb110VgnVCM100000c7b410acRCRD)

**PLAGIARISM:**

Plagiarism is the intentional use of another’s words (by direct transcription) or ideas (by paraphrasing) without attribution. University prohibitions against plagiarism are rather clear. Again, if you are not sure about the meaning of plagiarism, please ask me to clarify or go to the link above.

**INCOMPLETES**

The university grants me precious little discretion here. In order to qualify for an incomplete I must demonstrate that you have been doing passable work (so you have to have taken the midterm and passed it) and you must demonstrate a reason for requesting an incomplete that conforms to university guidelines (documented illness, death or emergency in the family, unexpected military deployment, etc.). Again, the university makes this decision—not me nor the graders.
TENTATIVE OUTLINE OF READINGS: Available in your University Reader unless marked by an * . The latter can be accessed on campus or at home through a proxy server (http://webproxy.ucsd.edu/proxy.pl). Please let me know if you have trouble with the urls as they sometimes change.


I. INTRODUCTION--PARTIES AS INTERMEDIARIES OF PUBLIC CHOICE:

II. PARTIES AND PARTY SYSTEMS--TYPOLOGIES

Alan Ware (1996), “Why party systems differ” (Political Parties and Party Systems)
Paul Herrnson (2002), “Two-party dominance and minor party forays in American politics” (Herrnson and Green, eds., Multiparty Politics in America, 2nd ed.)

III. THE CHANGING NATURE OF PARTISANSHIP IN THE U.S.

A. THE DECLINE (?) OF PARTISANSHIP

Bibby et al. (2008), “Partiy Identification” (Politics, Parties & Elections in America)
http://ppq.sagepub.com/cgi/reprint/5/2/199

B. REALIGNMENT

http://arjournals.annualreviews.org/doi/pdf/10.1146/annurev.polisci.3.1.449
Rosenstone et al. (1996), “A theory of third party voting” (Third Parties in America)
*Laura Elder (2008), “Whither Republican women: the growing partisan gap among women in congress” (the Forum, v.6, #1)
http://www.bepress.com/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1204&context=forum

MIDTERM DUE AT THE BEGINNING OF CLASS, Wednesday, Feb. 9 and through TurnItIn
PART, THESECOND: CHANGE IN U.S. PARTY SYSTEMS AND ORGANIZATION

IV. THE CHANGING NATURE OF DEMOCRACY AND PARTY GOVERNMENT IN THE U.S

A. OVERVIEW (expect some overlap with sections B and C)


B. NOMINATION REFORM (?)

Larry Bartels (1988), “From back rooms to ‘big mo’” (Presidential Primaries and the Dynamics of Public Choice)

*One or two more to be added from recent literature

C. CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM (?)

Raymond La Raja (2009), ”Back to the future”

*Other readings may be announced. I suggest everyone look through the following resource for information on the 2008 and 2010 elections: http://www.opensecrets.org. Type “political party” into the search field to start.

D. GERRYMANDERING AS PARTISAN ACT

Charles Bullock (2010), ”Partisan Gerrymandering: All’s Fair in Love, War, and Redistricting (Bullock, Redistricting, Ch. 5)

*potential readings to be announced tied in with current redistricting plans

V. CONGRESS, THE PRESIDENT, AND THE PARTIES (maybe)

*The following can be found directly (on campus, proxy server) through the following website: http://www.bepress.com/forum/vol16/iss3/
Read at least two of the following: essays by Palazzollo, Connelly and Pitney, Rae, Sinclair