PURPOSE
A student completing this course would learn how the moral and intellectual justifications of modern political democracy developed from the ancient world, through the modern democratic revolutions, against the alternatives of fascism and communism, to the practical triumph of liberal democracy today, and rising challenges to democracy from oligarchy and from meritocracy.

By trial and error I have found that it’s much more interesting for students to learn the essential concepts of modern political democracy as they developed historically. The course is tightly designed, and although at the beginning you may wonder why we are studying some feature of ancient Greek politics, later in the course you will see that what you’ve learned suddenly helps to understand the development of representative democracy in the mid-19th century or the debate between democracy and meritocracy today.

The course is focused on historical texts, most of them philosophical. Context for understanding the texts and the course of democratic development will be provided in lecture and discussions, and by some background readings. We begin with the remarkable Athenian democracy, and its frequent enemy the Spartan oligarchy. In Athens legislation was passed directly by an assembly of all citizens, and executive officials were selected by lot rather than by competitive election. Athenian oligarchs such as Plato more admired Sparta, and their disdain for the democracy became the judgment of the ages, until well after the modern democratic revolutions. Marsilius of Padua in the early Middle Ages argued for popular sovereignty. The Italian city-states of the Middle Ages did without kings, and looked back to Rome and Greece for republican models. During the English Civil War republicans debated whether the few or the many should be full citizens of the regime. The English, French, and American revolutions struggled with justifying and establishing a representative democracy suitable for a large state, and relied on election rather than lot to select officials. The English established a constitutional monarchy, admired in Europe, and adapted by the Americans in their republican constitution. The American Revolution helped inspire the French, and the French inspired republican and democratic revolution throughout Europe during the 19th century.
The doctrines of liberalism, democracy, and socialism emerged and diverged in the early 19th century. The theory and the practice of representative democracy was refined, and democracy grew as the right to vote in elections was gradually extended. Liberalism and socialism converged in democratic practice in the late 19th century; but a strongly antidemocratic reaction emerged around the beginning of the 20th century and developed into fascist and communist tyrannies after World War One, each claiming to realize true democracy. Fascism or communism was endorsed by many intelligent and educated people, and democracy had few intellectual defenders during the years of the Great Depression. Fascism died with defeat of the Axis powers in World War Two, and communism died as an idea with the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1989. Modern representative democracy was triumphant from about 1989 to about 2008 and the advent of the great recession. Now, even in the most developed democracies, alternating political coalitions support unpopular policies that benefit a few at the expense of many. In East Asia, benevolent authoritarianism presents itself as a challenge to liberal democracy.

Democracy comes about wherever people consider themselves to be political equals. Democracy was not something uniquely invented by ancient Greeks, handed down through Western Europe, to be reborn in the American revolution. Our focus though is to understand contemporary representative democracy, the most widespread form of government today. It is a quite particular institution, and to understand it requires understanding its particular history. No disrespect is meant to the many manifestations of democracy elsewhere in human experience.

You are expected to attend and to be completely prepared for each session. You must keep current or ahead of the readings as listed in the syllabus. Readings average about 15 pages per session.

CONTACTS
My office is at SDSC 153E, Center on Global Justice, San Diego Supercomputer Center, tel. 858 534-7015, email gmackie@ucsd.edu (please email rather than telephone unless urgent).

Office hours are Tuesday 10-12 or by email appointment.

- The CENTRAL (WEST) entrance of the SDSC is on Ridge Walk, north of the Social Science Building and south of Rimac Arena. A path goes downhill to the east. Take the path, enter the main door, continue straight and to the east until you run into windows and can go no further. Then, look right, you will see a sign for UC San Diego Center on Global Justice. At the sign, turn left, and go to the end of the wing; CGJ offices are here.
• The EAST entrance of the SDSC is on Hopkins Drive, north of the Hopkins Parking structure and south of Rimac Arena. Walk west up the outside stairs to SDSC East Entrance. Enter, and go west up one more flight of stairs. At the top, turn 180 degrees and head east, and continue until you can go no further. Then, look right, you will see a sign for UC San Diego Center on Global Justice. At the sign, turn left, and go to the end of the wing: CGJ offices are here.

• BY CAR: From N. Torrey Pines Road, turn east on North Point Drive, follow the road right as it turns into Hopkins Drive, proceed, at the stop sign turn right and uphill on Voight Lane for a short way, then turn right onto floor 6 of the Hopkins Parking Structure. Walk up one flight to 7, take the bridge west to the Social Science Bldg, turn right at SSB and walk north along it, then as SDSC Bldg. becomes visible you will run into a path that goes east downhill to the Central (West) Entrance of SDSC.

Sometimes I will hold office hours at SSRB 322. *NOT SSB, see directions at http://polisci.ucsd.edu/~gmackie/ If so, I will announce it in class. Check with me by email if you want certainty.

The Teaching Assistant is Mackenzie Rice, mdrice@ucsd.edu I will post his office location and hours on TritonEd.

All course-related email must contain 110H in the subject line. Otherwise, it may be neglected.

Course Announcements and Instructions will be posted at the Announcements function of TritonED. I’ll usually say in class when an important announcement is posted, but it’s your responsibility to check the announcements regularly.

I will post class powerpoints on TritonED, I will try to do so in advance, but sometimes will do so right before class or even after.

ASSESSMENT
• About six unannounced 5-minute quizzes, 10% of the grade.
• An in-class exam on Wed Apr 26, 25% of the grade.
• A 5-page paper is due on Mon May 22, 30% of grade.
• A final exam on Mon Jun 12, 35% of grade.

There will be about six unannounced five-minute quizzes at the beginning or end of class, either on lecture content or on assigned readings. You may miss one quiz with no penalty. Otherwise, makeups are not allowed except for university-permitted and fully-
documented excuses such as genuine religious obligation or illness (send excuses to TA, preferably before a class you’ll miss, arrive late, or leave early).

The first closed-book, closed-note exam will cover everything up to that point. It will be one-half identifications and one-half essay questions. Identifications quote something in the readings or lectures, and ask you to identify the source of the quote and explain its meaning and context. It could be something from the readings that we never discussed, or something presented in the lectures but not in the readings. This is meant to assess how much effort you put into learning the content of course materials. The identifications will be neither obscure nor obvious (I’ll provide examples well before the exam). The essay questions are meant to assess how deeply you have thought about themes of the course.

The 5-page paper should be between 1000 and 1250 words (word-count determines). We will provide three topics on material in the second third of the course, and you may choose one of them, or obtain permission from the TA for your own topic. A paper above 1300 total words is deducted one whole grade (e.g., from A- to B-). Papers are due no later than the beginning of class on the due date; any submitted after that time will be considered late. **We will use Turnitin.Com** via TritonED assignment. Late papers will be penalized ½ grade for 5 minutes to 24 hours late, and another ½ grade for each additional week (absent meeting in advance requirements for exceptions stated next). Lateness will be excused only if a) the T.A. is notified by email at least 24 hours before the due date and time, AND b) the student has a university-permitted AND c) properly documented excuse. Papers must have complete and proper citations, using any standard format. Papers should be well-organized, well-considered, and well-written. Solely at our discretion, we may require rewrites, in which case the grade is an average of the original and the rewrite.

The final closed-book, closed-note exam will contain identification questions from the latter two-thirds of the course, from Apr 28 on, worth 15% of the total grade, and a choice of essay questions on the whole course, worth 20% of the total grade. Many students take about two hours to complete the exam, a few take the whole three hours (it’s up to you). Here are the three essay questions that will appear on the final exam. I will randomly select two to appear on the final exam, and you will be asked to write on one of the two.

- How do interpretations of Athens and Sparta inform our understandings of democracy?

- You are sent by the U.S. State Department to an authoritarian country such as Bhutan whose elite wants to transition to modern liberal representative democracy. What values and institutions would you recommend?

- What are the strongest arguments a critic could make against democracy? How would a democrat answer the opponent?
Success in the course requires mastering the readings, regularly attending the lectures, and thinking in depth about democracy. Those who skip the readings, the lectures, or both, won’t do well.

The texts we read are initially difficult because of lack of context and background.

- First, read the text as best you can, going quickly over parts that are too difficult.
  - Be sure to read background historical chapters I assign!
- Come to lecture and get further background and explication.
- Ask me in class or after class to interpret passages.
- Now, read text a second time, take notes for future review.

HONESTY
We will abide strictly by standards of academic honesty. That means you must not cheat on exams, must not plagiarize on the writing assignments, and must provide proper citations for written work that you submit, among other things. If you have any questions about what is permitted, consult with us, as ambiguities will be construed against the violator. I do not have a forgiving attitude about academic dishonesty.

REQUIRED TEXTS

- All readings will be on UCSD library electronic reserve
  - Including the URL links also listed sometimes provided in this syllabus for your further convenience

WEEK ONE
Mon, Apr 3. Introduction and Overview
- [http://www.idea.int/sod/framework/basic_principles.cfm](http://www.idea.int/sod/framework/basic_principles.cfm)
- Dahl, *Democracy and its Critics*, chs. 1, 2, 15

Wed, Apr 5. What is Modern Political Democracy?
- Dahl, continued
- Dunn Ch. 1, Hornblower
Fri, Apr 7. **Introduction to Ancient Democracy**
- Manin, Ch. 1, pp. 8-34

**WEEK TWO**

Mon, Apr 10. **Athens vs. Sparta**
- J.S. Mill on Athens, on Plato’s *Protagoras*

Wed, Apr 12. **Plato**
- Plato’s *Republic* on democracy, selections

Fri, Apr 14. **Aristotle**
- Aristotle’s *Politics* on democracy, selections

**WEEK THREE**

Mon, Apr 17 **From Ancient Rome to Medieval Florence**
- Dunn, Ch. 4, Skinner;
- Marsilius of Padua, *The Defender of the Peace*, selections

Wed, Apr 19 **Machiavelli and the Italian City-States**
- Machiavelli, *Discourses on Livy*, selections

Fri, Apr 21. **The Logic of Equality**
- The Putney Debates

**WEEK FOUR**

Mon, Apr 24. **Aristocracy vs. Democracy: Class Debate**

Wed, Apr 26. **IN-CLASS-EXAM** (on material up to Mon Apr 21)

Fri, Apr 28. **Why Election Rather than Lot; the English Constitution**
- Manin, *The Principles of Representative Government*, 83-93
- Montesquieu, *Spirit of the Laws*, Book XI, chs. 2-6

**WEEK FIVE**

Mon, May 1. **The American Democratic Revolution**
- Dunn, Ch. 6, Wood;
• Federalist Papers #10, #57; at http://www.foundingfathers.info/federalistpapers/fedindex.htm also linked at
• Paine, Rights of Man, Part II, Ch. 3; at http://www.ushistory.org/Paine/rights/c2-03.htm also linked at

  • Dunn, Ch. 7, Fontana;
  • Rousseau, Social Contract

Fri, May 5. French Revolution: Democracy, Socialism, and Liberalism Emerge and Diverge
  • Rousseau continued

WEEK SIX
Mon, May 8  French Revolution Continued: Reign of Terror
  • Robespierre, “Report on Principles of Political Morality”
  • Babeuf, “Conspiracy of Equals”

  • Constant, “The Liberty of the Ancients Compared with that of the Moderns”

Fri, May 12. Representative Democracy Emerges and Matures
  • J.S. Mill, On Representative Government, selections

WEEK SEVEN
Mon, May 15. Logic of Democratic Equality, Continued
  • https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timeline_of_womens_suffrage_in_the_United_States

Wed, May 17. Democratic, Liberal, and Socialist Convergence
  • Hobhouse, Elements of Liberalism
  • Bernstein, Democracy and Socialism

Fri, May 19. Antidemocratic Reaction: Violence and Antisemitism, Elite Theory
  • Sorel, On Violence, selections
Read: 20-21, 74, 76 from bottom, 77, 78 stop at section break, 220 from bottom, 221, 222
- Michels, Political Parties, selections, NOTE THE FOLLOWING REDUCTIONS
  - Read: 43-46, 50-51, middle of 54 through 57, 317 to top of 321, 324

WEEK EIGHT
Mon, May 22. PAPER DUE

Mon, May 22. Fascism: Against Peace, Liberalism, Socialism, Democracy; for True Democracy
- Marinetti, “The Futurist Manifesto”
- Mussolini, “The Political and Social Doctrine of Fascism”

Wed, May 24. Communism: Against Liberal Democracy, for True Democracy
- Vyshinsky, “Political Basis of the USSR,” from Law of the Soviet State

Fri, May 26. Representation
- Manin, Przeworski, and Stokes, “Introduction,’ in their Democracy, Accountability and Representation

WEEK NINE
Mon, May 29. MEMORIAL DAY.
- Reread from first week of course: Dahl, Manin 1-7.
  - You are responsible for reading and knowing this review material.
- Get started on Stepan and Linz for Wed May 31

Wed, May 31. Triumph of Modern Political Democracy & the Democratic Recession

Fri, Jun 2. How Democratic is the U.S. Constitution?
- Stepan and Linz, 2011, “Comparative Perspectives on Inequality and the Quality of Democracy in the United States,” Perspectives on Politics, 9(2): 841-856
  - http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?aid=8452384
  - READ ONLY pp. 844L-854L

Note: this reading and the next two are from the same journal. Read only assigned pages. Get the pdf of each article. Each page has two columns, left (L) and right (R). For
example, when I say, 844L, go the left column of page 844 and start from the section heading in that column

  - http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?aid=6677116
  - READ ONLY pp. 731L-733R, 740L-744L

WEEK TEN

Mon, Jun 5  Is the U.S. a Democracy?
  - http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?aid=9354310
  - READ ONLY 564L-565L, 571L-574R, 575R-577L. If you need help on the four theories, SKIM 565L-568L

Wed, Jun 7  Challenge of 21st Century Benevolent Authoritarianism

Fri, Jun 9  Review

Final Examination
- Mon Jun 12, 8:00-1059 AM, place TBA

-- END --